

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/049,308	02/12/2002	Muradin Abubekirovich Kumakhov	KUMAKHOV-00273	3739
7590 05/18/2004			EXAMINER	
William H Holt			CHURCH, CRAIG E	
Law Offices of	William H Holt			
Unit 2 First Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1423 Powhatan Street			2882	
Alexandria, VA 22314			DATE MAILED: 05/18/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/049,308	KUMAKHOV, MURADIN			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	ABUBEKIROVICH Art Unit			
The MAII INC DATE of this communication ann	Craig E. Church	2882			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>29 December 2003</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>28-54</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>28-54</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6)  Other:					

The following is a quotati n of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

The specification is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 112 first paragraph as failing to provide an enabling disclosure. There is no teaching of x-ray optics capable of collecting x rays over a region of 4 pi steradians and guiding it to detection apparatus.

Claims 28-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112 first paragraph for the reasons set forth in the objection to the specification.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

Claims 35-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Komardin (6175117). Komardin teaches apparatus and methods employing scattered x rays to locate and imag malignant tissues comprising x-ray source 20 (or multiple sources), collimator 22, patient support 68, 2 dimensional scatter

Art Unit 2882

detector 28, means 70 f r moving optics housing 14 and c mputer and display means. Tissue composition and density may be determin d. Komardin does not teach that collection of scattered x rays occurs over 4 pi steradians, but his detector is two dimensional, and It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to collect data over as large a volume as necessary to obtain desired resolution.

Claims 28-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. [] 103 as being unpatentable over Adler (5207223) cited by applicant in view of Komardin. As described in applicant's specification Adler teaches radiation therapy in which transmission x-ray images are employed to identify and locate malignant tissues in preparation for x-ray treatment thereof but fails to teach acquiring images via x-ray scatter. Komardin teaches apparatus and methods employing scattered x rays to locate and image malignant tissues comprising x-ray source 20 (or multiple sources), collimator 22, patient support 68, 2 dimensional scatter detector 28, means 70 for moving optics housing 14 and computer and display means. Tissue composition and density may be determined. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace Adler's transmission images with the scatter images taught by Komardin in order to reduce harmful patient dosage.

Applicant's amendment filed December 29, 2003 does not traverse the previous rejections under 35 USC 103.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Church at teleph ne number (571) 272-2488.

Crois E Chirch

Craig E. Church Senior Examiner Art Unit 2882